



ADDENDUM NUMBER TWO

October 25, 2024

RFQ #24-911-46

ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING DESIGN SERVICES FOR FISCHER I AND III - EXTERIOR AND INTERIOR REPAIRS

THIS ADDENDUM IS BEING ISSUED TO INCORPORATE THE FOLLOWING IN THE REFERENCED REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS.

1. The "RFQ Information at a Glance" section references Section 3.2.1 regarding instructions for submitting price proposal. The RFQ does not appear to include Section 3.2.1, nor any instructions for a price proposal. Please clarify.

Response: Section 3.2.1 is not required. Disregard any references throughout regarding price or cost since the RFQ is a request for qualifications only. Price will be requested only after evaluation of the proposals from the highest ranked firm.

2. Section 3.1, Proposal Format states that HANO intends to make an award based on a "Best Value" basis. In our experience a "Best Value" evaluation typically considers cost as an evaluation factor. However, Section 4, Proposal Evaluation, does not allocate any points for cost. Is it HANO's intent to make an award based on qualifications only in accordance with the stated evaluation factors included in Section 4, and not to consider price in the initial evaluation? Please confirm.

Response: The proposals will be evaluated based on various factors which are outlined in Section 4.1 Evaluation Factors. Price is not considered in the initial evaluation and ranking process.

3. Paragraph 3.1.8, Subcontractor/Joint Venture Information requires that all information required from the proposer be included for any major subcontractors. Is it HANO's intent to have the proposer also provide all of the required forms and all of the information required under Tab 4 for each of its major subcontractors (i.e. cover letter, background and experience, EEO Policy, Acknowledgement of Addenda, etc.)?

Response: Yes, please provide information as per the RFQ's instructions.

4. Tab 8 is missing from the Tabbed Proposal Submittal matrix. Is this intentional?

Response: Tab 8 is not required for this solicitation.

5. Section 3.4 does not include any reference to electronic submission, but the "Information at a Glance" section does provide that option. Please clarify.

Response: You may submit your proposal via electronic submission, hand delivery, or by courier.

6. Tab 4 requests that we provide information regarding our experience with high-rise modernization projects. While we do have this experience, it is our understanding that there are no high-rise buildings in Fischer I and III. Does HANO intend to consider this experience and if not, should we omit a description of this experience from our proposal?

Response: Please include all relevant experience in Modernization projects which may include but should not be limited to high-rise modernization projects.

7. Appendix A, Technical Specifications, states that orders for design services will be made via issuance of Task Orders under the contract, but also includes a list of specific modernization projects (i.e. door canopies, balconies, painting, etc.). Does HANO intend to issue these modernization projects separately under individual task orders or does HANO intend to issue these projects under the initial task order for services? Is the list of projects provided intended to be illustrative of the type of task orders that may arise under the contract? Does HANO anticipate having additional projects at these sites that are not listed in the scope of services?

Response: HANO does not intend to issue separate task orders for the scope of work identified under this RFQ and does not intend to issue additional task order for any additional projects that are not listed in the scope of services.

8. The RFQ requests a minimum of three (3) project examples. Is there a maximum number of examples that HANO will allow in the proposal?

Response: The respondents are not limited to a maximum number of project examples; however, it is recommended that any HANO projects be included as relevant experience with the agency.

9. Is it possible to provide site plans for Fischer I and III, indicating at a minimum, the number and type of buildings located on each site?

Answer: The site plan for Fischer I and III is attached and made a part hereof.

Proposals must be received by the Housing Authority of New Orleans (HANO) in the Office of Procurement and Contracts by 4:00 p.m. CST on Friday, November 8, 2024. All terms and conditions shall remain as stated in the original Request for Qualifications. All addenda must be acknowledged.

END OF ADDENDUM NUMBER TWO



Revitalization of Fischer Housing Development

New Orleans, LA
Housing Authority of New Orleans



Parsons Brinckerhoff Quade & Douglas, Inc.
Cooper, Robertson & Partners Architecture, Urban Design
SRP Group, LLD